

**ADRODDIAD PENNAETH
CYNLLUNIO,
CYFARWYDDIAETH YR AMGYLCHEDD**

**REPORT OF THE
HEAD OF PLANNING,
DIRECTORATE OF ENVIRONMENT**

**AR GYFER PWYLLGOR CYNLLUNIO
CYNGOR SIR CAERFYRDDIN/**

**TO CARMARTHENSHIRE COUNTY
COUNCIL'S PLANNING COMMITTEE**

**AR 08 MAWRTH 2018
ON 08 MARCH 2018**

**I'W BENDERFYNU/
FOR DECISION**

**ATODIAD
ADDENDUM**

*Ardal
Gorllewin/
Area West*



ADDENDUM – Area West

<i>Application Number</i>	W/36577
<i>Proposal & Location</i>	VARIATION OF CONDITION 2 OF PLANNING PERMISSION W/30595 (EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO SUBMIT RESERVED MATTERS) AT LAND AT CAE GLAS, ST CLEARS, CARMARTHEN, SA33 4EY

DETAILS:

CONSULTATIONS

Neighbours/Public – One further objection has been received. The correspondence questions whether notice had been served on the correct other landowners; refers to the application site being different to that previously approved; comments that the reference to the inclusion of the passing bay in the site appraisal section of the report that indicates a passing place is included is misleading in that outline planning permission for the residential development (W/21657) granted by the appeal Inspector specifically excluded the passing bay.

THIRD PARTY REPRESENTATIONS

The first comment relates to whether correct notice had been served on all interested parties. The objector, who states that he is part owner of the estate road that shall serve the proposed development, asserts that no notice has been received. This has been queried with the applicant's agent with a response awaited.

The second element of the late objection relates to the red line application site. As detailed in the report, this application is for variation of condition with regard to which there is no requirement for any plans to be provided. The proposal is merely to extend the period of time for the submission of the reserved matters in relation to the outline permission granted by the Inspector for one further year. The determination of this application has no bearing or effect upon the extent of the application site approved in the outline planning permission.

The final point raised with regard to the report content has served to highlight a typographical error. While the site appraisal refers "*A further area of land to the south of Heol Goi has been included within the application site to allow for the provision of a passing place ...*", the text should have correctly read "...**had** been included...".

Although the original application contained reference to both a passing bay and a footway, it is subsequently clarified in the main committee report that the Inspector did not consider either to be necessary and omitted both from the outline planning permission.